## IN THE COURT OF APPEALS OF THE STATE OF IDAHO

## **Docket No. 35615**

STATE OF IDAHO,	) 2009 Unpublished Opinion No. 445
Plaintiff-Respondent,	) Filed: April 30, 2009
<b>v.</b>	) Stephen W. Kenyon, Clerk
KEVIN FRANK PARKER,	) ) THIS IS AN UNPUBLISHED
Defendant-Appellant.	) OPINION AND SHALL NOT ) BE CITED AS AUTHORITY )
Appeal from the District Court of Bonneville County. Hon. Joel E. Ti	the Seventh Judicial District, State of Idaho, ingey, District Judge.
	ed sentence of four years, with a minimum one-half years, for felony eluding an officer,
Molly J. Huskey, State Appellate P Appellate Public Defender, Boise, for	Public Defender; Eric D. Fredericksen, Deputy or appellant.

Appellate Public Defender, Boise, for appellant.

Hon. Lawrence G. Wasden, Attorney General; Lori A. Fleming, Deputy Attorney General, Boise, for respondent.

Before PERRY, Judge; GUTIERREZ, Judge; and GRATTON, Judge

## PER CURIAM

Kevin Frank Parker pled guilty to felony eluding an officer. I.C. § 45-1504(2). In exchange for his guilty plea, an additional charge was dismissed. The district court sentenced Parker to a unified term of four years, with a minimum period of confinement of one and one-half years, but retained jurisdiction. Parker appeals, challenging the excessiveness of his sentence.

Sentencing is a matter for the trial court's discretion. Both our standard of review and the factors to be considered in evaluating the reasonableness of the sentence are well established and need not be repeated here. *See State v. Hernandez*, 121 Idaho 114, 117-18, 822 P.2d 1011, 1014-

15 (Ct. App. 1991); *State v. Lopez*, 106 Idaho 447, 449-51, 680 P.2d 869, 871-73 (Ct. App. 1984); *State v. Toohill*, 103 Idaho 565, 568, 650 P.2d 707, 710 (Ct. App. 1982). When reviewing the length of a sentence, we consider the defendant's entire sentence. *State v. Oliver*, 144 Idaho 722, 726, 170 P.3d 387, 391 (2007). Applying these standards, and having reviewed the record in this case, we cannot say that the district court abused its discretion.

Therefore, Parker's judgment of conviction and sentence are affirmed.